

2017 MLC Conference Travel Stipend Application Scoring Rubric

Option A. Attendance enhances Professional Development (10 points maximum)

<p>Scoring Criteria: Attendance Contributes to Professional Development (5 points)</p>	<p>Rating Description</p> <p>5- Applicant provides specific details, such as topics, concepts and issues, and clearly explains how these details are related to their professional development.</p> <p>4- Applicant provides a clear but general explanation of how the conference promotes their professional development.</p> <p>3- Applicant explains the importance of this conference to their professional development; however, the explanations may be slightly ambiguous or unclear or may contain some incompleteness in representation.</p> <p>2-The conference may be irrelevant or tangentially related to the applicant’s professional development.</p> <p>1- Relevance of the conference to the applicant’s professional development is unclear or under-developed.</p>
<p>Scoring Criteria: Motivation to Attend the Conference (5 points)</p>	<p>Rating Description</p> <p>5- Specific and compelling motivations are listed that reveal the applicant has given significant thought to why attending the conference is valuable.</p> <p>4- Specific and compelling motivations are listed.</p> <p>3- Specific motivations are listed but the argument is not compelling.</p> <p>2- Motivation is a major topic but no specifics are listed.</p> <p>1- Motivation to attend the conference is not mentioned.</p>

Option B. Presentation Enhances Conference (10 Points maximum)

<p>Scoring Criteria: Presentation Enhances Conference (5 points)</p>	<p>Rating Description</p> <p>5- Applicant provides specific details, such as topics, concepts and issues, and clearly explains how these details are related to enhancing the conference.</p> <p>4- Applicant provides a clear but general explanation of how their presentation enhances the conference.</p> <p>3- Applicant explains how their presentation enhances the conference; however, the explanations may be slightly ambiguous or unclear, may contain some incompleteness in representation.</p> <p>2- The presentation may be irrelevant or tangentially related to the goals of the conference.</p> <p>1- Description of how presentation will enhance conference is unclear or under-developed.</p>
<p>Scoring Criteria: Motivation to Attend the Conference (5 points)</p>	<p>Rating Description</p> <p>5- Specific and compelling motivations are listed that reveal the applicant has given significant thought to why attending the conference is valuable.</p> <p>4- Specific and compelling motivations are listed.</p> <p>3- Specific motivations are listed but the argument is not compelling.</p> <p>2- Motivation is a major topic but no specifics are listed.</p> <p>1- Motivation to attend the conference is not mentioned.</p>